FST FA 04 0201276S : SUPERIOR COURT

SUZANNE NOWACKI : JUDICIAL DISTRICT

VS. : STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

MICHAEL NOWACKI : NOVEMBER 23, 2009

B E F O R E:

HONORABLE MARY LOUISE SCHOFIELD

APPEARANCES:

REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFF:

ATTORNEY KEVIN COLLINS

REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT:

MICHAEL NOWACKI, SELF REPRESENTED

Kathy Jordan Court Recording Monitor

1	THE COURT: Nowacki, Suzanne versus Michael.
2	Would the parties please identify themselves for the
3	record.
4	MR. COLLINS: Good morning, Your Honor, Attorney
5	Kevin Collins for the plaintiff Suzanne Nowacki now
6	Suzanne Sullivan.
7	MS. REICH: Good morning, Your Honor, Veronica
8	Reich I'm attorney for the minor children Tim and
9	Kerry Nowacki.
10	MR. NOWACKI: Michael Nowacki, pro se.
11	THE COURT: We are here on what motion?
12	MR. COLLINS: Mr. Nowacki has a motion for
13	contempt relative to what he claims is non compliance
14	with prior discovery orders in this matter. I have a
15	motion for counsel fees, but the bigger issue is I
16	suppose what we do as far as getting this case heard.
17	Mr. Nowacki has claimed to have filed judicial
18	grievance
19	MR. NOWACKI: Excuse me, Mr. Collins, I would
20	appreciate to represent my position myself and not
21	have you represent my position. Thank you.
22	MR. COLLINS: That having been said, Your Honor,
23	Mr. Nowacki has claimed to have filed judicial
24	grievances against Your Honor, Judge Schofield, Judge
25	Malone, and Judge Shay. He has filed a grievance
26	against me. He has filed 211's which are obstruction
27	of justice complaints with the federal government

against myself, my associate, Lacie Bernier who is the GAL in this matter, and Attorney Tom Colin who is sitting in the courtroom. He has told Mr. Colin a grievance is forthcoming against him.

He has told Mr. Nusbaum this morning a grievance is forthcoming against him. He has told Attorney Reich that he is filing a criminal complaint against her for depraved indifference, whatever that means. Judge Harrigan is recused from hearing this case for reasons dating back to the judgment which he didn't approve back in June of `05.

All that having been said I'm not sure what the Court intends to do with regard to any motions which come before it because I don't necessarily agree that the filing of a judicial grievance against the three judges who are sitting today mandates a recusal by any or all of those three judges.

If this Court feels differently then there is only one judge who has not been so grieved because Mr. Nowacki perceives, I believe, that he is getting a favorable hearing from Judge Novack on a motion which started in April and which is continuing next week.

In short, Your Honor, I think this matter needs to go to Middletown. That is what I think needs to happen. So, I think that the pending motion before Judge Novack is a clear attempt to manipulate this

courthouse, to manipulate who will hear his cases to rein terror upon everybody who has anything to do with this case. He was the front page of the Darien Times on Thursday because of the complaints he has filed against St. Thomas Moore Church.

He's written terrible to Attorney Reich, I'm a big boy I can take those things, but she is the attorney for the children. He has written terrible, terrible things to her. He's tried to manipulate this court I think that if we get up to Middletown I think that Mr. Nowacki will understand that he just can't do this sort of thing.

So, I respectfully request that the matter be transferred and the pending hearing before Judge Novack be mistried. This is something that Your Honor did in Colon. There was a pending hearing for alimony and intent for modification in that. The transfer to Middletown necessitated that.

To do otherwise is to give Mr. Nowacki his way to get it to the one judge who he perceives to be the judge who will give him the most favorable hearing, until he doesn't, and then Judge Novack will be grieved and then there will be no judges to sit family who have not been grieved and no lawyer that has ever even looked at this case who has not been grieved.

MR. NOWACKI: I would ask Mr. Collins last

1	comment to be stricken from the record.
2	THE COURT: It's a fact.
3	MR. COLLINS: So, Your Honor, I would
4	respectfully suggest
5	MR. NOWACKI: It's not a fact, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: What was the last comment?
7	MR. COLLINS: I don't even know. Something
8	about the
9	MR. NOWACKI: Speculation as to what would
10	happen, it is inappropriate.
11	MR. COLLINS: His correspondence to me which I
12	would be happy to read to the Court suggests that the
13	only judge that can hear this matter sitting family
14	is Judge Novack. I can dig that up in my Blackberry
15	and I can read that verbatim to this Court because
16	that is his position.
17	If his position is otherwise I put it to him,
18	but this is the most clear manipulation, or attempt,
19	that I've ever seen, and I don't think this Court
20	will stand for it. I think Attorney Reich would
21	probably join in the application to move this to
22	Middletown so that we deal with it up there and they
23	will deal with this situation effectively.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Nowacki.
25	MR. NOWACKI: On February 22, 1809, Sir Walter
26	Scott wrote a famous poem the best line of which is
27	well remembered: Oh what a tangled web we weave when

1	first we practice to deceive. From the moment that
2	Kevin Collins was put on this case he has engaged in
3	the absolute
4	THE COURT: Just a minute, Mr. Collins was not
5	put on this case.
6	MR. NOWACKI: He was put on this case after
7	Attorney Colin resigned from the case, and the reason
8	why Attorney Colin resigned from the case
9	THE COURT: Mr. Nowacki, the request here is
LO	whether or not the matter should be transferred to
L1	Middletown.
L2	MR. NOWACKI: There are two motions that are on
L3	the table today for Your Honor to hear.
L 4	THE COURT: Who are you suggesting that the
15	motions be heard by?
16	MR. NOWACKI: I have no problem with my motion
L7	for the information that relates to the subject of
18	the non production of items going back to the June
19	15, order of Judge Shay, it should go back to Judge
20	Shay.
21	I attempted to have that motion heard on October
22	13, but because Kevin Collins made the argument that
23	because Judge Malone had given a protective order and
24	all he did was to reaffirm what Judge Shay ordered on
25	June 15, be provided to me in the court on September
26	the 10^{th} . Those materials, which Kevin Collins

delivered to me through Ami Jayne Wilson, his

1	associate, resulted in by the way a series of
2	documents that were incomplete.
3	THE COURT: So, you would like your motion
4	referred to Judge Shay?
5	MR. NOWACKI: Yes, I would.
6	MR. COLLINS: Well, the problem is he is not
7	agreeing for my motion to be referred to Judge Shay.
8	MR. NOWACKI: No. His motion I'm asking for a
9	continuance on because Attorney Collins has refused
10	to give me any documentation in regard to his
11	attorney's fees, none. I've made three email
12	requests and I had a properly served motion because
13	he refused to cooperate and had that served last
14	Thursday.
15	MR. COLLINS: He had it served on Thursday and
16	it's not in compliance, Your Honor. I don't know
17	what it is even. If it's a request for production I
18	have thirty days. If it's a request to produce at
19	hearing it has to be at least five days in advance,
20	and it's not. Whether it's either of those vehicles
21	it is not in compliance with the Practice Book.
22	MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, the issues here
23	involve the misuse of this system of justice by
24	Attorney Collins. On April the 10 th , I filed my
25	request for production to Attorney Collins. What did
26	he do? He waited right up until the last minute on

April 28th, before the first hearing in front of

1	Judge Novack and he filed an objection to every
2	single item.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Nowacki, let me ask you a
4	question, do you believe that Judge Shay is going to
5	want to hear your motion in light of the fact that
6	you
7	MR. NOWACKI: I think Judge Shay will give me a
8	fair hearing today because he was the judge that
9	ordered the production.
10	THE COURT: After you filed a grievance against
11	him?
12	MR. NOWACKI: Yes. I'm okay with today with
13	that motion being heard in front of Judge Shay
14	because I have to have this production to move
15	forward with the original motions for modification
16	that were started on April the $29^{\rm th}$ with Judge
17	Novack.
18	We will be done with those motions on the $2^{\rm nd}$
19	and 3^{rd} , and all this is just another attempt by
20	Attorney Collins himself to manipulate this system by
21	virtue of his position as an officer of the court.
22	It is preposterous I filed my motion for modification
23	in September of last year. It took me less time to
24	get divorced than it did to have this motion heard in

Attorney Collins has basically done everything he possibly could in this process to make production

its entirety.

1	difficult. He tried to implicate me in Suzanne's
2	family's tax fraud that goes back to the grandmother
3	dying in 2003. On February 22, 2009, in my attic I
4	found a wire transfer from the Swiss Bank Corporation
5	dated January 20, 2005. I immediately notified
6	Attorney Tom Collin about this subject because at
7	that point in time I'm reading the train every single
8	morning in the Wall Street Journal and I'm reading
9	about all of these people who parked estate money
10	overseas in Switzerland, and I said to Attorney
11	Collins
12	THE COURT: But that is not before me, Mr.
13	Nowacki.
14	MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, you have to have the
15	background of what
16	THE COURT: I don't need to have the background.
17	MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, he is asserting to me
18	that I am manipulating this process and that is an
19	absolute falsehood. All I'm trying
20	THE COURT: Mr. Nowacki, have you filed
21	grievances against Judge Shay?
22	MR. NOWACKI: Yes, I did. I filed it in the
23	context of
24	THE COURT: And did you file a grievance against
25	myself?
26	MR. NOWACKI: I did, Your Honor, for a good
27	reason.

1	THE COURT: And did you file a grievance against
2	Judge Malone?
3	MR. NOWACKI: For good cause.
4	THE COURT: And what judge is left here, Mr.
5	Nowacki?
6	MR. NOWACKI: Judge Novack is left here.
7	THE COURT: And how many days a week does Judge
8	Novack work?
9	MR. NOVACKI: We have hearings in front of Judge
10	Novack on December 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} and we're done with the
11	motions for modification, the motion for contempt,
12	and we're out of here.
13	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated, Mr.
14	Nowacki. Mr. Colin, do you have anything that you
15	would like to add?
16	MR. COLIN: No, Your Honor. I'm not in this
17	case I'm here waiting for a stipulation.
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry I thought that there was
19	an allegation that a grievance was being filed
20	against you.
21	MR. COLLINS: There is, Your Honor, he is
22	predecessor counsel it's Mr. Nowacki's position that
23	Mr. Colin has obstructed justice just like everybody
24	else.
25	THE COURT: That's the clarification.
26	MS. REICH: Good morning, Your Honor. The
27	motions that are actually on the calendar today, the

motion for fees and the motion for contempt regarding discovery I have no interest in that fight. I normally wouldn't waste the party's time and money, and I wasn't planning to come. What does interest me, however, is this procedural issue that has been now discussed by the parties.

Mr. Collins referred to terrible things that had been said about me; he mentioned he was a big boy and I'm a big girl and I'm not terribly concerned about that either. I will deal with anything that has been said about me, to me, grieved me, I'm confident in what I do and what I say.

My only concern with reference to Mr. Nowacki's statements are its effect on my relationship with the children and the effect on the children. My only concern is that I have received a number of statements from Mr. Nowacki that clearly indicate that he is discussing me and court matters with Kerry, and that's of grave concern to me.

So, because of all the issues that are going on and the only matter that was heard before Your Honor that I've been involved in has been the motion to get a psychological evaluation which Your Honor granted.

THE COURT: That's right.

MS. REICH: All of the things that I've discussed I think are relatively peripheral. We haven't dealt with any of the substantive claims yet.

Maybe there is tax fraud or maybe there are all kinds of misrepresentations or alienation going on here. I don't know, we don't know, but we need the psych eval so we can get additional information to proceed with this case. That has already been ordered and it has already begun.

I've contacted Dr. Robson and I think some appointments have been made so my only concern is the Court should know that statements have been made to Kerry about me and about the case and because of all these facts and circumstances I would join in the request to send this to Middletown.

I think that they don't have the volume that the courts have here in terms of short calendar and a myriad of cases. We can get consecutive days to hear things in Middletown, all the reasons that Your Honor knows so well. I think it belongs there and I join in that request.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, I would like to respond to some of the things that Attorney Reich said. What I have seen in the course of these processes is selective recall on behalf of information that is given to Attorney Reich, and some of which she has refused to even consider to look at that indicate very clearly what an involved parent that I have been with these two children's lives for

- 1 the last four years. 2 THE COURT: Are you discussing this case with 3 your children? 4 MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, I will tell you that I 5 asked --THE COURT: I asked a question. 6 7 MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, I am going to give you 8 a direct answer to that question. 9 THE COURT: It's either a yes or a no. 10 MR. NOWACKI: No, it isn't a yes or no. 11 THE COURT: Then please sit down if you're not going to answer me and follow the direction of the 12 13 Court. 14 MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, I have the ability to 15 respond in a manner --16 THE COURT: Marshal. 17 THE MARSHAL: Have a seat, sir. 18 MR. NOWACKI: -- that is appropriate. It is not 19 a yes or no question. THE MARSHAL: Have a seat. 20 21 THE COURT: Sit down, Mr. Nowacki. I am 22 referring the matter up to Judge Shay. If he decides 23 that he wants to hear your motions that's one thing, 24 and if he decides that he doesn't want to hear the
- MR. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.

here and I will make a decision.

motions for whatever reason you will come back down

25

1	THE COURT: Marshal, is there an outside
2	marshal?
3	THE MARSHAL: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. Attorney Reich, are you
5	going upstairs?
6	MR. REICH: I have a matter before Judge Malone,
7	Your Honor, and as soon as that is done I will
8	certainly go upstairs.
9	MR. NOWACKI: What courtroom is Judge Shay in,
10	please?
11	THE COURT: 6F.
12	MR. NOWACKI: Thank you.
13	(Back on the record)
14	THE COURT: Is Attorney Reich here?
15	MR. COLLINS: She is not in the courtroom, Your
16	Honor. She is in the courthouse; I don't know if
17	Your Honor needs her at this moment in time. Judge
18	Shay has declined to hear us today and we've returned
19	to Your Honor for Your Honor's suggestion.
20	THE COURT: So, why don't you just step forward,
21	please.
22	MR. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: What did Judge Shay say?
24	MR. COLLINS: What Judge Shay said, Your Honor,
25	was that he just received the judicial grievance on
26	Friday afternoon. It is essentially a litany of all
27	of the cannons (sic) that he has violated without any

real substantial backup.

His position is it would be inappropriate for him to hear anything on this case at this time. He did not rule himself out in the future and I think his position is he has a judicial grievance received from Mr. Nowacki. He doesn't know exactly what Mr. Nowacki is alleging against him. He opined that if the hearing went forward today and he ruled in Mr. Nowacki's favor that I could possible infer that the Court was intimidated by the fact that the grievance was filed.

Mr. Nowacki, like the grievance he has filed against me, alleges a lot of things without any substantial backup. I don't know what the grievance committee is going to do with that. I don't know how I can respond until I see everything that Mr. Nowacki intends to try to prove, and I think not unlike that Judge Shay declined to act today.

So, per Your Honor's recommendation we are back here. I think this is just a problem we are going to run into here because of what Mr. Nowacki has done. He has interestingly twisted it around into my manipulation of the Court. I don't care what judge we're in front of but I will not, myself, stand for Mr. Nowacki trying to manipulate the system in front of one judge and that's why I think Middletown is the right place for this case, Your Honor.

1	I think they are equipped to do it and this
2	congests the local calendar needlessly and
3	unreasonably and Mr. Nowacki has hoisted on his own
4	petard. He can't pick and choose whether or not
5	Judge Shay can hear his motion and not my motion.
6	It's just not workable. I respectfully request and I
7	renew my application, and Attorney Reich has
8	supported it, that this matter be moved to Middletown
9	and that the pending hearing before Judge Novack be
10	mistried.

MR. NOWACKI: I would like to respond, Your Honor. I believe that, and you would have to check the date, a week ago last Thursday there was a fax sent to the judicial chambers which outlined issues and I asked that be distributed to all three judges.

THE COURT: That is not allowed.

MR. NOWACKI: I understand but I made an effort to communicate the allegations is what I'm attempting to communicate to the Court. Whether it's allowed or not I was completely transparent about the process.

THE COURT: We are not allowed to receive any communications from individuals whether or not they are represented by counsel or if they are self represented.

MR. NOWACKI: I'm aware of that but I also did not want the judges to be blind sighted by this situation because I don't operate that way. Despite

some allegations that have been made recently in this courtroom which I -- part of my problem is that I informed Attorney Reich I did not threaten her in regards to her complicity issue. The day before we were here in court I filed a form 211 with the Internal Revenue Service indicating that she refused to look at evidence even for the children's accounts as it related to the non filing of taxes.

THE COURT: But that is inappropriate, Mr. Nowacki. You cannot just send letters to people making allegations without having a full and fair hearing so both sides can be heard.

MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, I fully understand that but when I attempted to put that information into the court record Your Honor refused.

THE COURT: We weren't having a hearing and it had no bearing whatsoever on whether or not there should be a psychological evaluation.

MR. NOWACKI: It certainly in my view did not allow me an appropriate opportunity to respond to a number of misstatements that were made by Attorney Reich particularly as it related to the subject of threats. I never threatened anyone. I informed her that there was a 211 that had been filed. When you inform someone after the fact it is not a threat it's a piece of information that's all.

THE COURT: What is the purpose of that

Τ	information if it's not to intimidate them if we're
2	in the middle of a hearing and she's representing
3	your children and you oppose that representation.
4	MR. NOWACKI: Because what she didn't do at my
5	request was to look at the children's tax returns.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Nowacki, we are not going to get
7	into this. We are not going to get into substance.
8	MR. NOWACKI: I appreciate that we will be
9	moving to Middletown and I have absolutely no issue
10	whatsoever. I just have a couple of procedural
11	questions in regard to
12	THE COURT: I am not allowed to answer
13	questions. You will have to direct whatever
14	questions you have to Mr. Norm Roberts.
15	MR. NOWACKI: All right. In regard to the
16	appointment of Dr. Robson
17	THE COURT: That has already been done.
18	MR. NOWACKI: I am appealing that decision today
19	based upon the mistrial that occurred today.
20	THE COURT: What mistrial that occurred today?
21	MR. NOWACKI: Well, we're moving it to
22	Middletown.
23	THE COURT: There is no mistrial. There was a
24	decision that was rendered and you agreed to Dr.
25	Robson right on the record.
26	MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, but at that point in
27	time that was at a point in time that I had an

1	objection to the processes as they occurred that day.
2	Your Honor cut me off at every opportunity where I
3	THE COURT: When you were inappropriate, you
4	were not addressing the issues before the Court. You
5	can file whatever you want.
6	MR. NOWACKI: And I have and that will come up
7	and I will file the appropriate Appellate requests to
8	review the decision this afternoon.
9	THE COURT: Fine.
10	MR. NOWACKI: That is all I want to do is say I
11	have a process which I have the ability to go through
12	and I intend to proceed with that.
13	THE COURT: And no one is preventing you, sir.
14	MR. NOWACKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I
15	appreciate that.
16	THE COURT: You're welcome.
17	MR. COLLINS: Your Honor, Mr. Nowacki has
18	suggested that he is not threatening. This is what
19	he has sent to the attorney for his children today:
20	Please be advised of my application for the
21	vindictive, malicious, devious, and narcissistic
22	conduct which has characterized the conduct of Kevin
23	F. Collins and has resulted in the statewide bar
24	counsel's acceptance of my complaint against him
25	which was received via certified mail on Saturday.
26	Your version of selected edited biased
27	commentary in court which was so self serving as to

1	be characterized as a lack of competence will be
2	filed by the end of this week. Again, a heads-up or
3	a threat? I'll let the Court decide on that.
4	Indeed, the Court has already alluded to the fact
5	that apparently Mr. Nowacki has at least attempted to
6	engage in ex parte communications with this Court, as
7	Your Honor had indicated, and Mr. Nowacki agreed that
8	he had sent some letters somehow that got to
9	chambers, entirely inappropriate.

To go on, what he wrote to Attorney Reich this morning, which are an absolutely fact void presentation of evidence and your complicity and lawyerly fellowship to the detriment of your clients will net you the same opportunity to defend yourself. Threat or a heads-up?

Get yourself a good lawyer as you will need one if you ever hope to practice law again, threat or a heads-up? The failure of you to even look at evidence of misconduct on behalf of your clients show your depraved indifference to Kerry and Tim's best interests. Good luck in our defense.

Fortunately, all the evidence of wrong doing which you didn't even look at including transcripts of testimony that was perjury personified will cause you some sleepless nights when you suffer the consequences of your non compliance with your professional code of conduct and your defiant defense

1	of your failure to even inspect the tax returns for
2	the children, and the failure to comply with
3	inheritance laws which has extraordinary implications
4	on the life of Kerry and Tim.
5	Nice going, counselor, you will earn your
6	stripes when my lawsuit is filed against you for your
7	failure to perform your duties and in the process
8	defend yourself against a sinister form of child
9	abuse in your failure to represent your clients
10	express desire to leave the current shared custody
11	arrangement in place.
12	Get ready for your version of a nuclear whiteout
13	of paper that will suffocate you with your own words
14	of malice. Good luck, you will need it. Heads-up or
15	a threat? Let's send this case to Middletown.
16	THE COURT: I want a transcript of that.
17	MR. NOWACKI: Your Honor, very clearly the
18	reason why I waited to file this
19	THE COURT: Did I ask you for any excuse?
20	MR. NOWACKI: Was to allow for today's
21	transcript to again illustrate the point, and that is
22	why it wasn't filed.
23	THE COURT: I want a copy of that transcript in
24	the file.
25	MR. NOWACKI: Not a problem.
26	MS. REICH: I walked into the middle of this

hearing, I apologize, I was with Judge Malone.

1	THE COURT: That's fine.
2	MS. REICH: Do I assume correctly that Judge
3	Shay did not hear the motion?
4	MR. NOWACKI: That's correct.
5	THE COURT: He did not hear the motions.
6	MR. REICH: As long as my name was thrown around
7	here on this part of the hearing I do wish to note
8	for the record that I did get those emails from Mr.
9	Nowacki and I forwarded them to Attorney Collins just
10	in the interest of having everybody know everything.
11	I'm having trouble reading from a Blackberry now
12	so that is why Mr. Collins read it into the record
13	for me. Again, I can handle all that from Mr.
14	Nowacki, and as Your Honor indicated he can file what
15	he wants. My concern is what is being said not to
16	the Court, not to Mr. Collins, not to me, anyone
17	except for the children.
18	THE COURT: How old are the children, again?
19	MS. REICH: They were both born in November.
20	Tim was just 15 and Kerry was just 12
21	MR. NOWACKI: She just turned 13. Would you
22	also like to tell the Court about the other half of
23	the story here, about what is going on with parental
24	alienation and things being said to Tim that didn't
25	come from me
26	THE COURT: That is not an issue before the
27	Court.

1	MR. NOWACKI: Yes, it is. It's
2	inappropriate.
3	THE COURT: It is not an issue before this Court
4	today. You are excused.
5	MR. COLLINS: Are we transferred, Your Honor?
6	THE COURT: I have to talk and see whether
7	Middletown will accept this case.
8	MR. COLLINS: Yes, Your Honor. Anything that
9	would furnish business today is off, Your Honor?
10	THE COURT: Anything that would furnish business
11	is off today.
12	MR. NOWACKI: And you will communicate to the
13	parties in what fashion?
14	THE COURT: It will go through the appropriate
15	channels.
16	MR. NOWACKI: So, there will be a written
17	communication received at my home?
18	THE COURT: I don't know.
19	MR. NOWACKI: Okay. Thank you.
20	* * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

	VS. : STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT
	MICHAEL NOWACKI : NOVEMBER 23, 2009
1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	
7	I, Kathy Jordan, hereby certify the foregoing pages
8	are a true and accurate transcript of the recorded
9	proceedings of the above referenced case, heard before the
10	Honorable Mary Louise Schofield Judge, in the Stamford
11	Superior Court, Stamford, Connecticut, on the 23 rd day of
12	November 2009.
13	Dated at Stamford, Connecticut this 30^{th} day of
14	November 2009.
15	
16	
17 18 19	Kathy Jordan Court Recording Monitor

FST FA 04 0201276 S : SUPERIOR COURT

SUZANNE NOWACKI : JUDICIAL DISTRICT